1	STOEL RIVES LLP	
2	Michael B. Brown, Nevada Bar No. 6577 michael.brown@stoel.com	
3	10008 SE River Street	
4	Truckee, CA 96161 Tel: (530) 582-2282	
5	Fax: (530) 582-2281	
6	Joshua G. Gigger (Pro Hac Vice) josh.gigger@stoel.com	
7	Marc T. Rasich (Pro Hac Vice) marc.rasich@stoel.com	
8	201 South Main Street, Suite 1100 Salt Lake City, UT 84111	
9	Tel: (801) 328-3131 Fax: (801) 578-6999	
10	Hunter O. Ferguson (Pro Hac Vice) hunter.ferguson@stoel.com	
11	600 University Street, Suite 3600 Seattle, WA 98101	
12	Tel: (206) 386-7514 Fax: (206) 386-7500	
13		
14	Counsel for Defendant Intermountain Health Care, Inc.	
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
16		
17		
18	MY HEALTH, INC., a Delaware corporation,	Case No. 2:15-cv-00073-MMD-CWH
19	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION FOR THIRD EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND
20	v.	(Third Request for Extension of this
21	INTERMOUNTAIN HEALTH CARE, INC., a Utah corporation,	Deadline; Third Overall Extension Request)
22	Defendant.	Current Response Deadline: April 30, 2015
23		
24	Plaintiff My Health, Inc. ("My Health") and Defendant Intermountain Health Care, Inc.	
25	("Intermountain") have stipulated to, and respectfully request that the Court enter an order	
26	providing for, a 60-day extension of the deadline for Intermountain to respond to My Health's	
27	complaint from April 30, 2015 to June 29, 2015. This is the third stipulation and request for an	

28

extension of this deadline and the third overall request for an extension in this case. The initial

response deadline was February 5, 2015. Pursuant to two earlier stipulations of the parties, the Court entered two previous orders extending this deadline, first to March 16, 2015 (Dkt. 10) and then to April 30, 2015 (Dkt. 21).

After the Court granted the first and second extensions the parties have actively pursued good-faith negotiations to settle this dispute. Through counsel, the parties have discussed settlement terms in a series of communications and conferences, and the parties are confident that a final settlement can be reached before either party or the Court expends further substantial resources on the litigation of this dispute. But the parties have been unable to finalize an agreement before the existing April 30 response deadline due to scheduling conflicts and the need for individuals within each party to review and approve settlement terms.

The parties' agreed-upon third extension promotes judicial economy and ultimately the interests of justice by providing additional time to facilitate settlement before the parties and Court devote additional resources to the litigation of this matter. The agreed-upon extension should not unduly delay the litigation of this matter and, it is expected, should enable the parties to efficiently resolve this matter out of court. Accordingly, a third extension of the deadline for Intermountain to respond to My Health's complaint to June 29, 2015 is warranted.

// 18 19 // 20 // 21 22 // 23 // 24 25 // 26 // 27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

28

//

Case 2:15-cv-00073-MMD-CWH Document 26 Filed 04/30/15 Page 3 of 4

28